

A Quasi-exact Formula for Ising critical temperatures on Hypercubic Lattices

Serge Galam and Alain Mauger

Acoustique et Optique de la Matière Condensée*

Tour 13 - Case 86, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

.

August 1996
(To appear in Physica A)

PA Classification Numbers: 64.60 A, 64.60 C, 64.70 P

Abstract

We report a quasi-exact power law behavior for Ising critical temperatures on hypercubes. It reads $J/k_B T_c = K_0[(1 - 1/d)(q - 1)]^a$ where $K_0 = 0.6260356$, $a = 0.8633747$, d is the space dimension, q the coordination number ($q = 2d$), J the coupling constant, k_B the Boltzman constant and T_c the critical temperature. Absolute errors from available exact estimates ($d = 2$ up to $d = 7$) are always less than 0.0005. Extension to other lattices is discussed.

*Laboratoire associé au CNRS (URA n° 800) et à l'Université P. et M. Curie - Paris 6

In ref. [1] we showed that both site and bond percolation thresholds obey a universal power law,

$$p_c = p_0[(d-1)(q-1)]^{-a} d^b \quad (1)$$

where d is the space dimension, q the coordination number, p_0 and a are constants. For site dilution $b = 0$ while $b = a$ for bond dilution.

From a $Ln - Ln$ plot, all known percolation thresholds are found to align on two straight lines each one thus defining a universal class characterized by a set of parameters $\{p_0; a\}$. One class includes two-dimensional triangle, square and honeycomb lattices, with $\{p_0 = 0.8889; a = 0.3601\}$ for site dilution and by $\{p_0 = 0.6558; a = 0.6897\}$ for bond dilution. Two-dimensional Kagomé and all lattices of cubic symmetry (for $d \geq 3$) constitute the second class with $\{p_0 = 1.2868; a = 0.6160\}$ and $\{p_0 = 0.7541; a = 0.9346\}$ for sites and bonds respectively. At high dimensions a third class for hypercubes (sc and fcc) is introduced to recover the infinite Cayley tree limit [1]. We noticed that some Ising critical temperatures were found to obey Eq. (1) within the bond scheme ($b = a$).

Very recently Hackl and Morgenstern suggest a connection between on the one hand bond percolation thresholds and, on the other one, a combination of Ising critical temperatures and energies [2]. Agreement with available data are within few percents.

In this note we report a quasi-exact power law formula for Ising critical temperatures on hypercubes,

$$K_c = K_0[(1 - \frac{1}{d})(q-1)]^{-a}, \quad (2)$$

where $K_c \equiv \frac{J}{k_B T_c}$, J is the coupling constant, k_B the Boltzman constant, T_c the critical temperature, $q = 2d$, $K_0 = 0.6260356$ and $a = 0.8633747$. Absolute errors are always less than 0.0005. Application of Eq. (2) to other lattices is discussed.

Fig. (1) shows a $Ln - Ln$ plot of respectively K_c^{-1} and $[(1 - 1/d)(q-1)]$ for all Ising hypercubes from $d = 2$ up to $d = 7$. Data are exact estimates taken from refs. [3, 4]. All points (small squares in the Figure) align on a single straight line which demonstrates Ising critical temperatures (K_c) obey a power law of the form of Eq. (2).

Characteristics of that straight line are determined using exact estimates K_c^e from $d = 2$ up to $d = 6$ not including $d = 7$. We found $K_0 = 0.6260356$

and $a = 0.8633747$. Associated values of K_c are reported in Table (1). The agreement with exact estimates is indeed remarkable. Defining $\Delta \equiv K_c - K_c^e$ where K_c^e are exact estimates [3, 4] and K_c are from Eq. (2), absolute errors $|\Delta|$ are found to be always less than 0.0005.

Including $d = 7$ yields $K_0 = 0.6269574$ and $a = 0.8647815$ which still is excellent but a little bit less accurate. The error Δ is then reduced for $d = 7$ down to $\Delta = +0.00024$ but goes up to $\Delta = +0.00084$ for $d = 2$ (see Table 1). This sensitivity to the case $d = 7$ sheds light on our conjecture of a crossover to a new universality class for percolation thresholds above $d = 6$ [1]. Moreover Eq. (2) with $a \neq 1$ does not have the $d \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic Cayley tree limit $K_c = 1/q$ hinting to some crossover at high dimension.

In Fig. (2) Ising critical temperature exact estimates for non-hypercubic lattices *honeycomb*, *kagomé*, *triangular* at $d = 2$, and *diamond*, *bcc* and *fcc* at $d = 3$ are included. Data are seen not to sit exactly on the hypercubic straight line. Discrepancies are between $\Delta = -0.031$ and $\Delta = 0.0082$ (see Table 2). It hints some correction to $q = 2d$ should be accounted for in Eq. (2). We found for instance that rescaling the variable $(1 - 1/d)(q - 1)$ by $(q/2d)^{0.20}$ reduces discrepancies for non-hypercubic systems. However this point needs more investigation.

To complete our presentation we have also determined $K_0 = 0.6247099$ and $a = 0.8606241$ using only $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ data. Associated errors Δ^* are shown in last column in Tables (1, 2).

To conclude we have found a quasi-exact formula (Eq. 2) to yield Ising critical temperatures on hypercubic lattices. At this stage it worthwhile to stress that a posteriori our results provide ground to technical efforts made to determine critical temperature numerical estimates up to more than six digits [3, 4]. Otherwise we would have conclude wrongly Eq. (2) is exact as seen from associated errors in Table (1).

More estimates at $d = 8$ and $d = 9$ are now needed to elucidate the high dimension crossover to the $d \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic Cayley tree limit. Last but not least, it is interesting to note $[(1 - \exp(-2K_c))]$ does not obey a power law in the variable $[(1 - 1/d)(q - 1)]$.

Acknowledgments.

We would like to thank Dietrich Stauffer for stimulating discussions.

References

1. S. Galam and A. Mauger, Phys.Rev.E 53, 2177 (1996)
2. R. Hackl and I. Morgenstern , Int.J.Mod.Phys.C7, 609 (1996)
3. D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, "Introduction to Percolation Theory", 2nd Ed., Taylor and Francis, London (1994)
4. J. Adler, "Series versus simulations" in "Annual Reviews of Computational Physics, Vol.IV, 241, ed. D. Stauffer (1996)

Figure captions

Figure 1: $Ln - Ln$ plot of K_c^{-1} versus $\frac{(d-1)(q-1)}{d}$ for hypercubes from $d = 2$ up to $d = 7$. Small squares are exact estimates from [3, 4]. The straight line is from Eq. (2).

Figure 2: $Ln - Ln$ plot of K_c^{-1} versus $\frac{(d-1)(q-1)}{d}$ for hypercubes and other lattices from $d = 2$ up to $d = 7$. small squares are exact estimates from [3, 4]. The straight line is from Eq. (2).

Dimension	Lattice	q	K_c^e	K_c	Δ	Δ^*
$d = 2$	Square	4	0.4406868	0.44112972	+0.00044	0
$d = 3$	sc	6	0.2216544	0.22139040	-0.00026	0
$d = 4$	sc	8	0.14970	0.14956561	-0.00013	+0.00023
$d = 5$	sc	10	0.1139291	0.11386752	-0.00005	+0.00032
$d = 6$	sc	12	0.092295	0.09228595	+0.00014	+0.00051
$d = 7$	sc*	14	0.077706	0.07809958	+0.00039	+0.00075

Table 1: Ising critical temperatures from this work K_c compared to “exact estimates” K_c^e taken from [3, 4]. $\Delta \equiv K_c - K_c^e$. * means not included to determine $K_0 = 0.6260356$ and $a = 0.8633747$. Δ^* corresponds to $K_0 = 0.6247099$ and $a = 0.8606241$ using only $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ data.

Dimension	Lattice	q	K_c^e	K_c	Δ	Δ^*
$d = 2$	Honeycomb*	3	0.6584788	0.62603564	-0.032	-0.034
	Kagomé*	4	0.4665661	0.44112972	-0.025	-0.026
	Triangular*	6	0.2746531	0.28381002	+0.009	+0.009
$d = 3$	Diamond*	4	0.36982	0.34411006	-0.026	-0.026
	bcc*	8	0.15740	0.16557529	+0.008	+0.008
	fcc*	12	0.10209	0.11207783	+0.010	+0.010

Table 2: Ising critical temperatures from this work K_c compared to “exact estimates” K_c^e taken from [3, 4]. $\Delta \equiv K_c - K_c^e$. * means not included to determine $K_0 = 0.6260356$ and $a = 0.8633747$. Δ^* corresponds to $K_0 = 0.6247099$ and $a = 0.8606241$ using only hypercubic $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ data.